

CHANDRA PRABHU INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

CIN L51909HR1984PLC133745



REGD. OFFICE: 522, 5TH FLOOR, GALLERIA TOWER, DLF PHASE-IV, GURUGRAM-122009 HARYANA
BRANCH OFFICE: OFFICE NO. 20, 1ST FLOOR, PLOT NO. 102, CORPORATE PARK, SECTOR - 8, GANDHIDHAM, KACHCHH, GUJARAT - 370201
Mob. +91-9953001710, 8860600114 E-mail : info@cpil.com | Website: www.cpil.com

To,
Bombay Stock Exchange Limited
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers,
Dalal Street, Mumbai-400001

Date: 29/10/2026

Scrip Code: 530309

Sub: Submission of Newspaper Clipping for the purpose of:

- a) **Standalone Financial Results for the quarter and half year ended on 30th September, 2026**
- b) **Special Window for re-lodgement of transfer requests of physical shares**
- c) **Advertisement for updation of PAN and KYC details by holders of physical securities**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 read with Regulation 47 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, please find enclosed herewith copies of the newspaper advertisements pertaining to:

- The Un-Audited Standalone Financial Results of the Company for the quarter and half year ended September 30, 2026.
- The Special Window for re-lodgment of transfer requests of physical shares; and
- The Notice of updation of PAN and KYC details by holders of physical securities

The aforesaid advertisements were duly published in "THE PIONEER" newspaper (English & Hindi Edition) dated October 29, 2026, in compliance with the provisions of Regulation 47 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.

This is for your information and records.

Thanking You,


For CHANDRA PRABHU INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

DEEPAK
RAJ SINGH

Digitally signed by
DEEPAK RAJ SINGH
Date: 2026.10.29
14:16:04 +05'30'

**DEEPAK RAJ SINGH
COMPANY SECRETARY & COMPLIANCE OFFICER**

Encl.: as above



**TRANSGLOBE
ADVISORS**

**Your Trusted
Management
Advisory**

www.transglobeadvisors.in



Order Order

**West Bengal post-poll violence: CBI
withdraws 20 transfer pleas in SC**



The CBI on Wednesday withdrew from the Supreme Court around 20 petitions filed by it seeking transfer of cases related to the 2021 post-poll violence in West Bengal outside the state, citing "changed circumstances". A bench of Chief Justice of India (CJI) Surya Kant and Justices Joymalya Bagchi and V Mohana allowed the Central Bureau of Investigation's (CBI) request to withdraw."We are seeking to withdraw owing to changed circumstances," Solicitor General Tushar Mehta told the bench.The CBI had filed the petitions in 2023, when Mamata Banerjee was the chief minister of West Bengal, seeking transfer of the trials due to alleged concerns of witness intimidation, threats to prosecution lawyers and an atmosphere uncondusive to fair trials in the State.

**SC refuses entertain plea for OBC
drop-down menu in Census 2027**



The Supreme Court on Wednesday refused to entertain a plea seeking a direction to introduce a pre-loaded drop-down menu for OBC castes in the digital enumeration tools for Census 2027 instead of requiring individuals to manually enter their caste.

A bench of Chief Justice of India (CJI) Surya Kant and Justices Joymalya Bagchi and V Mohana said the issue pertains to a policy decision.

"We dispose of this petition while granting liberty to the petitioner to approach Registrar General and Census Commissioner to whom a copy of this petition may be sent as representation," the bench said. The counsel appearing for the petitioner, OBC Federation of India, submitted that a drop-down menu may be added to the digital census that is being conducted. The Registrar General of India (RGI) has decided to use an "open-ended question on caste" rather than a drop-down menu for the caste census to be conducted alongside the population enumeration phase of the Census.

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE is hereby given that one Mr. Komal Singh (hereinafter referred to as the said Mortgagee/Borrower) has created mortgage in the Schedule hereunder in favor of my/cour clients (DPC First Bank Limited First Floor, Plot No. 76 Commercial Market Sec-5, Rajendra Nagar, Ghaziabad UP - 201005. According to the said Mortgage/Borrowers, original documents namely SMC issued by concerned SDM in favor of legal heirs viz. Mrs. Bhoga Bai (widow), Mr. Ashok and Mr. Komal Singh (sons), stating that they are the legal heirs of Late Mr. Thakur Singh and Khatoon issued by concerned Panchayat in respect of plot of land falling in Kharsa No. 470, from Home have been lost/replaced and not traceable. If the aforesaid Original Agreements/Deeds/Documents, found by any person, the same shall be handed over at the address mentioned herein below. Further, if any person/body, individual, institution having any claim and/or objection in respect of or against or relating to or touching upon said property by way of sale, lease, lien, mortgage, charge, encumbrance, gift, easement, maintenance, inheritance, testamentary disposition or otherwise or having in their custody any title, documents pertaining to the said Flat shall communicate the same to the undersigned at my address within 7 days from the publication of this notice with documentary evidence in support thereof, failing which all the claim, of such person shall be considered to have been waived and/or abandoned. Any objections raised after the completion of the 07 days shall not be binding upon the said flat/property or my Client, please contact: Advocate Bhupinder Kumar, Ph no. 9265949881 # 1591, SECTOR 16-17, NISAR THE SCHEDULE ABOVE REFERRED TO Property bearing No. C-29, measuring 50 sq. yds. i.e. 44.61 sq. mtrs., out of Kharsa No. 470, situated in Village Sakoli, in the sheet of Gali No. 4, Block-C, Ashok Nagar, Ilalaca - Shahdara, Delhi 110033

PUBLIC NOTICE

It is to inform to the public at large that Mr. Nand Kishore Malhan had acquired Rear Portion of Ground Floor area measuring 2000 Sq. fts. Approx., with right to dig out own and possess the Basement underneath the Ground Floor, built on Property no. 588, measuring 551 sq. yds., Block-E, situated Greater Kailash-II, New Delhi vide Partition deed Deed dt. 15.10.2026, regd as doc no.2026/7/1/13032. Now Mr. N.K. Malhan is the undisputed owner of above said property and is willing to Mortgage the above said property with CIBIL.

OR

Any person / firm / institution / company having any claim or right in respect of the said Property by way of inheritance, share, sale, agreement, lease, license, gift, possession, legal heirs, partners or encumbrance howsoever or otherwise is hereby required to intimate in writing to the undersigned within 07 days from the date of publication of this notice of his/her/their share or claim, if any, with all supporting documents at below mentioned address. After expiration of notice period, the claims, if any, of such person shall be treated null and void and also treated as waived and not binding on my client.

Ajay Kumar Giri (Advocate) H.No. 26/161, Basement, Vikram Vihar, Lajpat Nagar 4, New Delhi - 110024

UJVN LIMITED

H.O.: "UJJWAL", Maharani Bagh, G.M.S. Road, Dehradun-248006

Telephones: 0135-2763808, Fax: 0135-2763508

CIN No. U40101UR2001SGC025866 Website: www.ujvn.com

Letter No: 1008 E-Tender Notice Date: 28/10/2026

Office of the Executive Engineer (M&G) Dhalipur Power House, invites on line bids from interested parties. Brief summary of tender is given below:

Tender No: e-18/EE/M&G/DLR/2026-27

Name of services:- Annual Maintenance of Unit-A of Dhalipur Power Station, Dehradun.

Estimated cost: Rs. 64,42,280/- (GST Extra)

Date of availability of bid document on website: 28.10.2026

Last date for submission of tender on website: 18.11.2026 up to 17:00 Hrs.

For fuller & further details, kindly visit e-procurement portal <http://uktenders.gov.in>

(Executive Engineer)

CHANGE IN TERMINAL & TIMING OF TRAIN NO. 12280/12279 TAJ EXPRESS

It is notified for the information of all concerned that the terminal & timing of train no. 12280/12279 Taj Express will be changed from New Delhi to Hazrat Nizamuddin w.e.f. 01.11.2026 as under:-

Change in Terminal & Timing of Trains									
Train No.	From	To	Existing Terminal	Existing Timings		Revised Terminal	Revised Timings		Date (from originating station)
				Arr.	Dep.		Arr.	Dep.	
12280	New Delhi	Virangana Lakshmbai Jhansi Jn.	New Delhi	----	06:55	Hazrat Nizamuddin	---	07:05	01.11.2026
12279	Virangana Lakshmbai Jhansi Jn.	New Delhi	New Delhi	21:35	---	Hazrat Nizamuddin	21:25	---	01.11.2026

For any kind of information such as arrival/departure timings and stoppages enroute etc., passengers are requested to contact RailMadad Helpline No. 139 or may visit Indian Railways website <https://enquiry.indianrail.gov.in> or NTES App.

SERVING CUSTOMERS WITH A SMILE



NORTHERN RAILWAY

visit us at: www.nr.indianrailways.gov.in



NEW DELHI | THURSDAY | OCTOBER 29, 2026



SC flags limit of Bihar SIR ruling



PIONEER NEWS SERVICE
■ New Delhi

The Supreme Court on Wednesday said it has not yet adjudicated the legality of the Election Commission's use of "logical discrepancy" category to scrutinise voters' details during the Special Intensive Revision (SIR) of electoral rolls.

Observing that its earlier permission for the exercise in West Bengal was only an interim arrangement, a bench comprising Chief Justice Surya Kant and Justices Joymalya Bagchi and V Mohana said that its decision in the Bihar SIR matter did not amount to a final determination of every issue arising from the SIR.

The observations were made while hearing a plea alleging a lack of transparency in notices issued to voters during the ongoing

Commission had not initially undertaken logical discrepancy as a category. We permitted it as an interim arrangement in West Bengal. We have not finally adjudicated upon the legality of the logical discrepancy exercise," Justice Bagchi said.

The judge added that the bench did not wish to make further comments on the issue, saying that oral observations in sensitive matters were often quoted out of context and portrayed as final judicial determinations.

"We do not wish to make further comments because observations in sensitive matters are sometimes taken out of context. These observations are not conclusive. There is a difficulty when judicial observations are repeatedly cited out of context as if every issue has already been decided," he said.

court's decision in the Bihar SIR matter did not amount to a final determination of every issue arising from the revision exercise.

"Bihar is not a final determination of every question arising in these proceedings. In Bihar, the Election

Lifts for some, a lane for none



ANIL KUMAR MISHRA

Delhi's lift policy was written to help the elderly climb, but it has quickly decided that one group of elderly residents does not count: the ones who live on the ground floor.

At Alaknanda in posh South Delhi two ground-floor flats sit at the end of a common passage. By the occupants' own account, that passage was about eight feet wide before a lift shaft, cleared by a 2016 NOC, was built over it. What remains is roughly 3 to less than 3 feet. One of the owners is a senior citizen who moves with a walking stick or a wheelchair. At the funeral of a ground floor resident, the bier had to be tilted to get through that passage.

The objectors has been in court since 2016. They say, on record, that they do not oppose a lift. They oppose this location, and they have pointed to another one. In October 2026 a Court at Saket refused them interim protection for the second time, paving the way to quickly construct the lift before the appeal is even heard in Delhi High Court.

The case is a small one. The question it raises is not: when a policy lets the majority of a building decide, who protects the person the decision lands on?

What the policy says:

The rule is simple: If 50 per cent of the upper-floor owners sharing a staircase agree, a lift can be built, and the ground-floor owner's consent is "advisable but not mandatory". It all began after DDA approved a modified lift policy on 23 September 2015 and notified it on 7 January 2016. The municipal corporations adopted it; South MCD did so by Office Order of 13 May 2016. The ground floor was written out on purpose. An earlier version made ground-floor consent mandatory. It was dropped because upper-floor residents complained that ground-floor owners, who gain nothing from a lift, would rarely agree. Unfortunately inspection is optional. The MCD scrutinizes drawings but only "reserves the right" to inspect the site, so approvals can rest on paper alone.

The policy does carry safeguards on paper: light and ventilation of habitable rooms must not be affected, and blind walls are preferred. But the person best placed to say whether those safeguards are being honored, the ground-floor occupant, has no vote.

The Delhi High Court has consistently backed the policy. In a 2019 ruling the High Court noted that the objecting ground-floor occupant "has no use for the lift" and upheld an NOC that had the consent of everyone else. (Deepak Sharma V DDA) In 2022, in a Kalkaji case, it held that ground-floor consent is "only advisory and not mandatory". (Nehru Apartments) In Alaknanda case in 2018 the trial court refused an injunction, finding the remaining passage enough for a wheelchair and noting other access routes. The latest order added that the objectors' real aim appeared to be no lift at all.

That last line deserves a pause. The objectors had said in writing that they want a lift, elsewhere. A court found otherwise at an interim stage, without a trial. It is a finding the objectors will now have to live down in their own building. Nobody should argue against lifts for elderly people on the third floor. The need is real, and courts are right to take it seriously. The problem is that the policy treats "where" as settled the moment "whether" is settled. A vote of upper-floor owners decides both. Those owners gain the lift and, often, a rise in resale value. The ground-floor owner bears the shaft, the shadow, the narrowed passage and the construction mess, and gets no vote on any of it. That is not a majority deciding; it is the beneficiaries deciding what the non-beneficiary must give up.

Three features turn this into a structural silencing rather than a hard bargain: Firstly, no vote, then no stand-

ing. Because consent is "advisory", an objection carries no formal weight at the NOC stage. By the time the objector reaches court, the structure is up, and the court weighs years of delay against them.

Secondly delay is counted against the objector. In Alaknanda the ten-year wait was cited as a reason to deny relief. But the objectors went to court simultaneously the NOC was issued. The decade is the length of litigation and nth rounds of failed mediations, not the length of their obstruction.

And thirdly the burden of proof runs one way. Upper floors need paper approvals. The ground floor must prove, with measurements and photographs, that a 3-foot passage is unsafe, and that an alternative site is feasible, often without access to the engineering data the applicants hold.

Age does not stop at the first floor. A ground-floor resident in a wheelchair is as much the person the policy was meant to protect as a third-floor resident on a walking stick. A policy that sees only one of them is not about accessibility. It is about whose accessibility counts.

Alaknanda is not an isolated case. Lift disputes running five to ten years are common across Delhi's DDA and group-housing blocks, and they stall in both directions. Now, Neighbors go to war over placement of lift in addition to fights on parking spots.

Two patterns stand out. First, whoever controls the managing committee tends to control the outcome: in Yamuna Apartments a committee blocked a lift with six of eight owners in favor, while in Cosy Apartments a new committee pushed lifts through over objections. Second, the people the fight is supposed to be about often lose either way: in Vasant Kunj, the elderly woman for whom a lift was sought died before it was ever usable.

What the record does not show is a pattern tied to any particular kind of resident, including well-known ones. The duration of these disputes is better explained by the policy's design (no forum for objections before construction, no deadline, discretionary inspections) than by the personalities involved, even where personalities clearly make it worse.

What a fairer policy would look like: The fix is not to restore a ground-floor veto; it is to give the ground floor a hearing before the concrete is poured.

Let the 50 per cent upper-floor majority

Delhi HC notice to Govt, Meta on ballot page



PIONEER NEWS SERVICE
■ New Delhi

The Delhi High Court has sought the stand of the Centre and Meta Platforms on a plea against withholding of the Instagram handle 'Bring Back Ballot', which published content advocating the use of paper ballots for elections.

On October 27, Justice Amit Mahajan issued notice on the petition by the account operator, organisation Mission Save Constitution, and its founder lawyer Mehmood Pracha, and listed the case for hearing on November 6.

The petition said that on the day the organisation proposed to hold a peaceful protest, called "Bring Back Ballot -? EVM Hatao Desh Bachao", at Jantar Mantar, it discovered that its 'Bring Back Ballot'

handle was not available in India.

The petition alleged that withholding the entire account with over 50,000 followers was disproportionate, unreasoned and without any notice.

"The handle advocated, peacefully and lawfully, a return to paper ballots in Indian elections under the slogan "EVM Hatao Desh Bachao", and mobilised citizens for the peaceful protest at Jantar Mantar, New Delhi on 10.10.2026, of which prior intimation had been given to the Delhi Police on 10.09.2026," the plea said.

decide whether a lift comes. Let the location be decided by the MCD after hearing every affected owner, ground floor included.

Then a mandatory site inspection should be in place. Replace "reserves the right" with a compulsory inspection and a written report on passage width, light, ventilation, utilities and emergency access, shared with all owners.

There has to be a minimum clear passage. Fix a floor below which no lift may narrow access to any flat, measured with wheelchairs and stretchers in mind, not doorways.

Then a time-bound objection window. Thirty days to object after the NOC application, a decision within sixty, and no construction until it is decided. That ends both decade-long stalls and build-first-argue-later.

Further, alternatives should assessed on cost and feasibility, in writing. If an objector proposes another site, the MCD should record why it is or is not viable, so courts are not left to guess at the interim stage.

And lastly Compensate where harm is unavoidable. If a lift must take light or space from a ground-floor flat, the beneficiaries should pay for it, as they would for any easement. None of this slows a fair lift down. It only slows down an unfair one.

Policies are written in office orders. They are lived out in stairwells. Picture the passage outside a ground-floor flat in an old DDA block. The shaft is already up, grey and unfinished, and the gap beside it is just wide enough for one person at a time. An elderly woman's wheelchair waits inside the door. Her objectors has been told, by notice and by order, that the lift will be finished.

Five residents stand in the narrowed lane. None holds any office the policy recognizes, but between them they have the signatures, the committee and, now, a court order. The ground-floor owner tries once more: the passage, the ambulance, the funeral, the other site.

One of them shrugs, and says what the policy has been saying all along, only more honestly: "*Stay lao agar problem hai toh*." Bring a stay, if you have a problem.

That sentence is the whole design of Delhi's lift policy in five words. The majority builds. The minority litigates. And the burden of proving that a home has been made smaller falls on the one person who never got a vote.

CHANDRA PRABHU INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

Regd. Office: 522, 5th Floor, Galleria Tower, Galleria DLF-IV, Gurugram, Haryana-122009

Email:info@cpil.com, cs@cpil.com / Website: www.cpil.com

CIN: L51909HR1984PLC133745

1. STATEMENT OF UNAUDITED STANDALONE FINANCIAL RESULTS FOR THE QUARTER AND HALF YEAR ENDED ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2026

The Unaudited Standalone Financial Results for the quarter and half year ended on September 30, 2026 ("Financial Results") have been reviewed by the Audit Committee and approved by the Board of Directors of the Company in their respective meeting held on October 28, 2026.

The full format of Financial Results are available on the website of Stock exchange at www.bseindia.com also on the Company's website i.e. <https://www.cpil.com/financial-results.pdf>. The same can be accessed by scanning the QR Code.

Please scan the below QR Code to view the full financial results:



2. Notice Of Special Window For Transfer and Dematerialisation of Physical Shares

Pursuant to SEBI circular No. HO/38/13/11(2)/2026-MIRSD-PD/1/3750/2026 dated 30th January 2026, it is to bring to your notice that a Special Window has been opened from 5th February 2026 to 4th February 2027, to facilitate the transfer and dematerialisation ("demat") of physical securities. This facility of the said Special Window is for lodgement of physical securities transfer and demat which were sold/purchased prior to 1st April 2019. Shareholders/ Investors are requested to refer to the details as prescribed inter-alia by SEBI vide its circular dated 30th January 2026 for guidance on applying for transfer and dematerialization of physical shares, available at the website of the Company at www.cpil.com. Shareholders who wish to avail the opportunity are requested to re- lodge the transfer request of physical shares within the above-mentioned timelines, to our Registrar and Share Transfer Agents (RTA): at: Alankit Assignments Limited, Unit: Chandra Prabhu International Limited, 4E/2, Alankit House, Jhandewalan Extension, New Delhi – 110055, Contact number: 011-42541955, Chandra Prabhu International Limited, at: 522, 5th Floor, Galleria Tower, DLF City Phase-IV, Gurugram-122009,Haryana, email id: cs@cpil.com.

3. Updation of PAN and KYC details by holders of physical securities.

Further, pursuant to SEBI Master Circular No. HO/38/13/4/2026-MIRSD-PD/II/4298/2026 dated 6th February 2026 for Registrars to an Issue and Share Transfer Agents, Members holding shares in physical form are requested to furnish/update their PAN, postal address with PIN code, e-mail address, mobile number, bank account details and specimen signature in respect of their folios with the Company's Registrar and Share Transfer Agent ("RTA"). Members may note that service requests shall be processed in accordance with the aforesaid SEBI Master Circular. Further, in the event of any payment, including dividend, being due to the Members holding shares in physical form, the same shall be made only through electronic mode, in compliance with applicable SEBI requirements, upon furnishing/updation of the above details with the RTA.

By Order of the Board
Chandra Prabhu International Limited
Sd/-
(Gajraj Jain)
Chairman Cum Managing Director
DIN- 00049199

Place: Gurugram
Date: 28/10/2026

PUBLIC NOTICE

General Public is informed that my client Mr. Sunder Singh Narang & his wife Mrs. Adarsh Kaur, R/o F-16, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi-110027, declare and it is reiterated as under:- My Client's son Prabjeev Singh Narang, R/o 6 Jackson El Way Markham, Ontario, L3S 0C4 is disobedient and displays utterly rude behaviour with his parents. Due to this disagreement and adamant conduct of their son, my client and wife have disowned their son and severed all ties with him, my client & his wife have disbarred their son from all movable & immovable self-acquired properties. Anyone dealing with him does so at his or her own risk. My client & his wife shall not be liable for any of his acts, debts, disputes or legal proceedings.

RAMNEEK KAUR (ADVOCATE)
Q-28, Rajouri Garden, ND-27, Mob. 9971654474

PUBLIC NOTICE

Re: Back Portion of Ground Floor without roof rights "The said floor", having area measuring 99 Sq. Mtrs., part of Freehold Built-up Property Bearing No. 60, in Block-H Pocket-3, Total land area measuring 198 Sq. Mtrs., situated at Sector-18, in the layout plan of Rohini Residential Scheme, Rohini, Delhi (hereinafter referred to as "said property").

SHIVKANT ARORA, ADVOCATE
NOTICE is hereby given to general public that our client Mrs. Nirmala (hereinafter referred as the "owner") is the absolute owner of the said floor of the said property vide Sale deed dated 10.03.2012 executed by Mrs. Anju Sharma registered as Doc No. 1061. It has been also informed that Original Sale Deed dated 02.06.2006 registered as Document No.4993 in favour of Mrs. Anju Sharma and also forming part of chain documents, is hereinafter called the "said document" has been lost/misplaced and is not traceable. A FIR to this effect has also been lodged vide LR No. 75063/7/2026 dated 28.10.2026 with Police station Samaypur Badli/E-Police Station.

If the aforesaid original document (the said document) or any one of them is found or has been found by any person, the same may be returned and delivered to the undersigned at the address mentioned herein below. Further, if any person, body, institution is having any claim and/or objection in respect of the said floor of the said property, he/she may raise his/ their objections, in writing, with documentary evidence, by Registered AD Post, to the undersigned at the address mentioned herein below within 07 days of publication of this notice. Otherwise, expiry of 07 days from the date of publication of this notice, no objection shall be acceptable.

General Public may also take note that any deal or transaction done by anybody on the basis of the said document or any of the said document shall be illegal and not binding on our clients. The person doing so shall be liable to be prosecuted under the law.

SHIVKANT ARORA, ADVOCATE
FOR MIS INTELLECTUAL LAW OFFICES
A-74, LOWER GROUND FLOOR, DEFENCE COLONY, NEW DELHI-110024

3768/2026

